



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/625,692 | 07/24/2003 | Gene J. Wolfe | 201176-6 | 9938 | |
| 181 75 | 90 01/23/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| MILES & STOCKBRIDGE PC 1751 PINNACLE DRIVE | | | MIZRAHI, | MIZRAHI, DIANE D | |
| SUITE 500 | SE DRIVE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| MCLEAN, VA | 22102-3833 | | 2165 | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/625,692 | WOLFE ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | DIANE D. MIZRAHI | 2165 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ac | ldress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | • | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | - | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under be | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application | l . | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected. | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 Cl | FR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | kaminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form P1 | TO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received. | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received in Application | on No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | rity documents have been receive | d in this National | Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | · · · | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | d. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) D Notice of Informal Pa | |)-152) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3-9-04</u> . | 6) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/625,692 Page 2

Art Unit: 2165

III. DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-32 are presented for examination and are pending.

Drawings

The Examiner contends that the drawings submitted on July 24, 2003 are acceptable for examination proceedings. These are informal drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4, 15 and 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Examiner is unclear as to what Applicant means by "itself". Further clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,692

Art Unit: 2165

Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed to a non-statutory subject matter, specifically, the claims are not directed towards the final result that is "useful, tangible and concrete.

(See State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

According to the New Guidelines of October 26, 2005, which states that "A claim limited to a machine or manufacture, which has a practical application, is statutory. In most cases a claim to a specific machine or manufacture will have a practical application. See Alappat, 33 F.3d at 1544, 31 USPQ2d at 1557)... a specific machine to produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result and State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

(Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility http%3A%2F%2Fwww.uspto.gov%2Fweb%2Foffices%2Fpac%2Fdapp%2Fopla%2Fpreognotice%2Fguidelines101_20051026.pdf)

The Supreme Court has repeatedly held that abstractions are not patentable. "An idea of itself is not patentable". Rubber-Tip Pencil Co. V. Howard, 20 Wall. 498, 07. Phenomena of nature, though just discovered, mental processes, abstract intellectual concepts are not patentable, as they are the basic tools of scientific and technological work Gottschalk v. Benson, 175 USPQ 673, 675 (S Ct 1972). It is a common place that laws

Art Unit: 2165

of nature, physical phenomena, and abstract ideas are not patentable subject matter Parker v. Flook, 197 USPQ 193, 201 (S Ct 1978). A process that consists solely of the manipulation of a data structure is not concrete or tangible. See In re Warmerdam, 33 F.3d 1354, 1360, 31 USPQ2d 1754, 1759 (Fed. Cir. 1994). See also Schrader, 22 F.3d at 295, 30 USPQ2d at 1459.

Therefore, Examiner believes that the above listed claims are nonstatutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Samuel Gustman (U.S. Patent No. 6,353,831 B1 and Gustman hereinafter).

Regarding Claim 1, Gustman teaches content preservation system comprising: at least one content interface adapted to receive content (col 7,lines 62-67) (col 49-67 to col 9,lines 1-6); a document vault configured to associate metadata with received content; a storage management system that manages preservation specifics and queues one or more preservation objects for preservation (col 9,lines 44-67 to col 10,lines 1-3); and a preservation store adapted to preserve one or more preservation objects on preservation media (col 8, lines 49-67).

Regarding Claim 2, Gustman teaches ...shelf management module adapted to perform classification, indexing, management and retrieval functionality (col 9,line 44-54).

Regarding Claim 3, Gustman teaches a data store, the data store adapted to store metadata that serves as a representation of the preservation object datum and indexers (col 14, lines 22-47) (col 9, lines 55-67 to col 10, lines 1-3).

Regarding 4, Gustman teaches the data store has the ability to preserve a representation of itself with a superset of all or a portion of preservation requirements of managed jobs (col 4, lines 56-67 to col 5, lines 1-3) (Figure 7B).

Regarding Claim 5, Gustman teaches preservation store controller and preservation store that preserve the one or more preservation objects on preservation media (col 14, lines 23-48).

Regarding Claim 6, Gustman teaches one or more of preservation, retrieval, metadata and queue management (col 9, lines 55-67 to col 10, lines 1-3).

Regarding Claim 7, Gustman teaches ... cooperates with storage management system to facilitate retrieval of preserved content (col 9, lines 55-67 to col 10, lines 1-3).

Regarding Claim 8, Gustman teaches one or more of a preserved content identifier, a Boolean query, a text model and a query can be used to search for the preserved content (col 10, lines 33-41).

Regarding Claim 9, Gustman ... adapted to be associated with one or more of a print cartridge, a toner bottle and an ink reservoir(col 7, lines 15-26) (Figure 1, i.e. I/O).

Regarding Claim 10, Gustman teaches wherein the content is

Art Unit: 2165

at least one of a document, digital content, a facsimile, multimedia, e-mail, video, web page and music (Figure 6) (col 8, lines 16-48).

Regarding Claim 11, Gustman teaches wherein the content preservation system stores the one or more preservation objects for at least one of an indefinite or predetermined amount of time (col 5, lines 37-46).

Regarding Claims 12-32, the limitations of these claims is similar in scope to the rejected claims above and are therefore rejected as set forth above.

Other Prior Art Made of Record

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will not be supplied with Office actions. Examiners advises the Applicant that the cited U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are

available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. For the use of the Office's PAIR system, Applicants may refer to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane D. Mizrahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 305-3900 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptions whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Diane Mizrahi

Primary Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100

_ **_**

January 16, 2005